

- TO: Goleta Design Review Board
- **SUBMITTED BY:** Samantha Bailey, Acting Planning Technician
- SUBJECT: 370 Storke Rd (APN 073-100-008) Storke Carwash and Shop Signage and California Environmental Quality Act Notice of Exemption Case Nos. 25-0025-DRB, 25-0026-ZC

DRB ACTIONS FOR CONSIDERATION:

- 1. Adopt DRB and CEQA Findings provided as Attachment A;
- 2. Adopt CEQA Categorical Exemption Section 15311 (a) on-premise signs (Attachment B); and
- 3. Conduct Conceptual/Preliminary/Final review and approve (or approve with conditions).

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

This is a request for a **Conceptual/Preliminary/Final** Review for one nonilluminated wall sign and one illuminated wall sign. The proposed illuminated wall sign, located on the car wash structure, will read "Car Wash" in 24" tall internally illuminated channel lettering painted with blue acrylic faces and silver edge trim. The length of the sign will be 150", 3" in depth, and will have a total sign area of 25 square feet.

The non-illuminated wall sign is proposed to display as three components across the façade of the building that is visible from Storke Road. The center component is proposed to be a 25 square foot blue circular sign with three lines of copy and a company logo above the copy. The first line of copy will read "THE" in 4.84" tall white letters. The second line of copy will read "POINT" in 8.63" white letters. The third line of copy will read "MARKET" in 4.84" brown lettering with a line above and below.

The component to the left of the sign is proposed to be one line of copy that will read "COFFEE" in brown lettering at 10.23" in height and 30.92" in length totaling 2.5 square feet. The component to the left of the sign is proposed to have two lines of copy and directional arrows to the right of the sign. The first line of copy will read "DRIVE" and the second line of copy will read "THRU" with each line of lettering in 10.08" tall brown lettering. The directional arrows will be three 22.94" tall green pointed lines. The two lines of copy and directional arrows will have a combined area of 7.4 square feet.

The property is located in the Inland Zone and has a General Plan Land Use and Zoning Designation of General Commercial (CG). The project was filed by Dan Morris of Freedom Signs, on behalf of Price Management, the property owner.

DISCUSSION:

The scope of this proposal is one nonilluminated wall sign and one illuminated wall sign. The focus of the DRB is to evaluate the new sign since the DRB has review authority for this project and may grant Approval if the project meets the Required Findings in Goleta Municipal Code §17.58.080. If the DRB cannot make the findings for the project, then the project must be redesigned.

The proposed wall signs meet the wall sign requirements of GMC 17.40.080 (F) as follows: 1) the proposed wall signs are one for each tenant space; 2)the proposed wall signs do not the exceed the height of the building walls to which they are attached; 3) the proposed wall signs do not exceed the maximum sign area allowed of 100 square feet; 4) the proposed signage do not extend more than 12 inches beyond the face of the wall; 5) the proposal does not cover any required wall openings; and 6) the proposed signage is placed flat against the wall building. There are no additional design standards adopted for signage for this zoning district. *The proposed wall signs are consistent with applicable policies of the City of Goleta General Plan, for example VH Policy 4.13.*

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW (NOE):

Pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code, §§ 21000 et seq.), the regulations promulgated thereunder (14 Cal. Code of Regulations, §§ 15000, et seq.), and the City's Environmental Review Guidelines, the project has been found to be exempt from CEQA. Specifically, the project is categorically exempt from environmental review pursuant to CEQA Guidelines § 15311 (a) (On-premise signs) as it is proposal for signs for an established business on existing buildings. The City of Goleta is acting as the Lead Agency and a Notice of Exemption is proposed to be adopted.

Moreover, none of the exceptions to the categorical exemptions set forth in State CEQA Guidelines section 15300.2 apply to the project. The exception set forth in State CEQA Guidelines section 15300.2(a), Location. Class 11 are gualified by consideration of where the project is to be located and the project is not located in or have an impact on an environmental resource of critical concern that is designated, precisely mapped, or officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies. The signs will be placed on existing buildings and therefore no new construction will occur that would impact an environmental resource. Section 15300.2(b)'s exception, relating to cumulative impacts, does not apply as there are no other successive projects of the same type in the same place that could result in significant cumulative impacts and the proposal is limited to signage. Section 15300.2(c)'s exception does not apply because there are no "unusual circumstances" that apply to the project; as the addition of signs for an commercial district is not unusual. Section 15300.2(d)'s exception does not apply because the project is not located near any scenic highways. Section 15300.2(e)'s exception does not apply because the project site and off-site improvement locations do not contain hazardous waste and are not on any list compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code. Finally, Section 15300.2(f)'s exception does not apply because the project has no potential of causing a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as it only involves the installation of signage on an existing building. Additionally, the proposed signage would not affect cultural and historical resources since there is no ground disturbance involved.

NEXT STEPS AND ASSOCIATED LAND USE ACTION:

If the DRB grants the applicant's request, the next steps include: (1) a 10-day (DRB) appeal period; (2) ministerial issuance of a Zoning Clearance following (Case No. **25-0026-ZC**) if no appeal is submitted; and (3) Building Permits as needed.

If the DRB action is appealed and the appeal is upheld, DRB's action will be rescinded and the DRB process will start over.

ATTACHMENTS:

- A Findings
- B Notice of Exemption
- C Sign Plans

ATTACHMENT A

FINDINGS

Attachment A DRB Findings for Signage and California Environmental Quality Finding Signage for Storke Car Wash and Shop 370 Storke Road (APN 073-100-008) Case No. 25-0025-DRB/25-0026-ZC

DESIGN REVIEW FINDINGS (GMC SECTION 17.58.080)

1. The development will be compatible with the neighborhood, and its size, bulk and scale will be appropriate to the site and the neighborhood.

The proposed signage is compatible with the neighborhood as the type of proposed signage is similar with the surrounding commercial businesses. The proposed wall signs conform to the wall sign requirements of Goleta Municipal Code Section (GMC) 17.40.80 (F) and are well below the maximum sign area as outlined in 17.40.60 (O).

2. Site layout, orientation, and location of structures, including any signage and circulation, are in an appropriate and harmonious relationship to one another and the property.

The proposed sign locations are on existing buildings, and are in line with the size of typical commercial signage. Further, the orientation of the signage is appropriate for the street frontage that borders the property. The location will not affect circulation or the building layout on the property.

3. The development demonstrates a harmonious relationship with existing adjoining development, avoiding both excessive variety as well as monotonous repetition, but allowing similarity of style, if warranted.

The signage is harmonious with signs at nearby commercial businesses as the materials are similar to the neighboring businesses. The proposed wall signs have some similarities to existing nearby ones but also have variety to reflect the individual tenant spaces. The proposed signs avoid both excessive variety as well as monotonous repetition.

4. There is harmony of material, color, and composition on all sides of structures.

The signs will be placed on existing buildings and will remain harmonious in terms of materials and colors on all sides of the building.

5. Any outdoor mechanical or electrical equipment is well integrated in the total design and is screened from public view to the maximum extent practicable.

The mechanical or electrical equipment associated with the illuminated wall sign is well integrated in the total design and is not visible from public view.

6. The site grading is minimized, and the finished topography will be appropriate for the site.

No grading is proposed as part of the proposed signage.

7. Adequate landscaping is provided in proportion to the project and the site with due regard to preservation of specimen and protected trees, and existing native vegetation.

No new landscaping is proposed as part of the proposed signage and no specimen trees, protected trees, and existing native vegetation will be removed as part of the project.

8. The selection of plant materials is appropriate to the project and its environment, and adequate provisions have been made for long-term maintenance of the plant materials.

No new landscaping is proposed as part of the proposed signage.

9. All exterior lighting, including for signage, is well designed, appropriate in size and location, and dark-sky compliant.

The illuminated wall sign is well designed, appropriate in size and location, and is dark-sky compliant.

10. The project architecture will respect the privacy of neighbors, is considerate of private views, and is protective of solar access off site.

The proposed signage will not affect the privacy of neighbors, impact existing views, and will not result in obstruction of solar access to other adjacent properties. The signage is proposed to be oriented toward the adjacent commercial streets, and the proposed sign sizes are not obtrusive.

11. The proposed development is consistent with any additional design standards as expressly adopted by the City Council. (Ord. 20-03 § 6).

There are no additional design standards adopted for signage for this zoning district. The proposed wall signs are consistent with applicable policies of the City of Goleta General Plan, for example VH Policy 4.13.

ATTACHMENT B

CEQA NOTICE OF EXEMPTION

CALIFORNIA ENVIORNMENTAL QUALITY ACT FINDING

Pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code, §§ 21000 et seq.), the regulations promulgated thereunder (14 Cal. Code of Regulations, §§ 15000, et seq.), and the City's Environmental Review Guidelines, the project has been found to be exempt from CEQA. Specifically, the project is categorically exempt from environmental review pursuant to CEQA Guidelines § 15311 (a) (On-premise signs) as it is proposal for signs for an established business on existing buildings. The City of Goleta is acting as the Lead Agency and a Notice of Exemption is proposed to be adopted.

Moreover, none of the exceptions to the categorical exemptions set forth in State CEQA Guidelines section 15300.2 apply to the project. The exception set forth in State CEQA Guidelines section 15300.2(a), Location. Class 11 are gualified by consideration of where the project is to be located and the project is not located in or have an impact on an environmental resource of critical concern that is designated, precisely mapped, or officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies. The signs will be placed on existing buildings and therefore no new construction will occur that would impact an environmental resource. Section 15300.2(b)'s exception, relating to cumulative impacts, does not apply as there are no other successive projects of the same type in the same place that could result in significant cumulative impacts and the proposal is limited to signage. Section 15300.2(c)'s exception does not apply because there are no "unusual circumstances" that apply to the project; as the addition of signs for an commercial district is not unusual. Section 15300.2(d)'s exception does not apply because the project is not located near any scenic highways. Section 15300.2(e)'s exception does not apply because the project site and off-site improvement locations do not contain hazardous waste and are not on any list compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code. Finally, Section 15300.2(f)'s exception does not apply because the project has no potential of causing a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as it only involves the installation of signage on an existing building. Additionally, the proposed signage would not affect cultural and historical resources since there is no ground disturbance involved.

- To: Office of Planning and Research P.O. Box 3044, 1400 Tenth St. Rm. 212 Sacramento, CA 95812-3044
 - Clerk of the Board of Supervisors County of Santa Barbara 105 E. Anapamu Street, Room 407 Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Subject: Filing of Notice of Exemption

Project Title:

Signage for Storke CarWash and Shop Case No. 25-0025-DRB; 25-0026-ZC

Project Applicant:

Dan Morris of Freedom Signs On behalf of Price Management, the business owner

Project Location (Address and APN):

370 Storke Rd Goleta, CA 93117 County of Santa Barbara APN: 073-100-008

Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries of Project:

This is for one nonilluminated wall sign and one internally illuminated wall sign. The purpose of the proposal is to identify each business component on the property, and the beneficiary of the project is the business owner.

Name of Public Agency Approving the Project:

Design Review Board of the City of Goleta

Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out the Project:

Dan Morris, of Freedom Signs, on behalf of Price Management, the business owner.

Exempt Status:

☑ Categorical Exemption: § 15311 (a) (On-premise signs)

Reason(s) why the project is exempt:

Pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code, §§ 21000 et seq.), the regulations promulgated thereunder (14 Cal. Code of Regulations, §§ 15000, et seq.), and the City's Environmental Review Guidelines, the project has been found to be exempt from CEQA. Specifically, the project is categorically exempt from environmental review pursuant to CEQA Guidelines § 15311 (a) (On-premise signs) as it is proposal for signs for an established business on existing

From: City of Goleta 130 Cremona Drive, Suite B Goleta, CA 93117



buildings. The City of Goleta is acting as the Lead Agency and a Notice of Exemption is proposed to be adopted.

Moreover, none of the exceptions to the categorical exemptions set forth in State CEQA Guidelines section 15300.2 apply to the project. The exception set forth in State CEQA Guidelines section 15300.2(a), Location. Class 11 are qualified by consideration of where the project is to be located and the project is not located in or have an impact on an environmental resource of critical concern that is designated, precisely mapped, or officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies. The signs will be placed on existing buildings and therefore no new construction will occur that would impact an environmental resource. Section 15300.2(b)'s exception, relating to cumulative impacts, does not apply as there are no other successive projects of the same type in the same place that could result in significant cumulative impacts and the proposal is limited to signage. Section 15300.2(c)'s exception does not apply because there are no "unusual circumstances" that apply to the project; as the addition of signs for an commercial district is not unusual. Section 15300.2(d)'s exception does not apply because the project is not located near any scenic highways. Section 15300.2(e)'s exception does not apply because the project site and off-site improvement locations do not contain hazardous waste and are not on any list compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code. Finally, Section 15300.2(f)'s exception does not apply because the project has no potential of causing a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as it only involves the installation of signage on an existing building. Additionally, the proposed signage would not affect cultural and historical resources since there is no ground disturbance involved.

City of Goleta Contact Person, Telephone Number, and Email:

Samantha Bailey, Acting Planning Technician Mary Chang, Supervising Planner (805) 961-7567 mchang@cityofgoleta.org

SignatureTitleDateIf filed by the applicant:1. Attach certified document of exemption finding2. Has a Notice of Exemption been filed by the public agency approving the project?

2. Has a Notice of Exemption been filed by the public agency approving the project? □Yes □No

Date received for filing at OPR: _____

Note: Authority cited: Section 21083 and 211110, Public Resources Code Reference: Sections 21108, 21152.1, Public Resources Code

ATTACHMENT C

PROJECT PLANS

2. SITE PLAN

1. COVER

Pg.1

5. ELEVATION (POINT MARKET) 6. ELEVATION (CAR WASH) 7. PHOTOSIMS 8. SURROUNDINGS

4. SIGN D TO SCALE

3. SIGN A, B, C TO SCALE



12



Sheet Title = Site Plan
Scale =1/2":20'

Project Title = storke car wash 374 Storke APN- 073-100- 008

Pg.3





Sheet Title = Signs to scale

Scale¹⁴/2":1'





Frontage = 38'x 13.2' = 502 sqft

Sheet Title = Site Plan Scale^{1<u>6</u>1/4":1'}



Sheet Title = Site Plan

Pg.7



Photosim showing signs A, B, C in place

Photosim showing sign D in place





Project Title = storke car wash 374 Storke APN- 073-100- 008

Surroundings

View of sign location looking North East



View from sign location looking West





Existing pole sign to remain unchanged







Views of property looking East towards back of parcel

Pg.8



