

- TO: Goleta Design Review Board
- **SUBMMITED BY:** Brian Hiefield, Associate Planner
- **SUBJECT:** 5611 Hollister Ave. (APN 071-140-083) Changes and additions to the service shop area at the Toyota dealership with California Environmental Quality Act Notice of Exemption Utilizing Section 15332 24-0036-DRB

## DRB ACTIONS FOR CONSIDERATION:

- 1. Adopt DRB and California Environmental Act Findings provided as Attachment A;
- 2. Recommend adoption of CEQA Categorical Exemption Section 15301(a) as provided in Attachment B; and
- 3. Conduct Conceptual and Preliminary review and recommend approval or approval with conditions.

If the findings cannot be made to grant the Preliminary approval request at this meeting, the DRB can either continue the item for additional information/redesign or deny the request specifically identifying the reasons for the denial.

## **PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

The DRB initially reviewed this proposal on Feb. 11, 2025, and continued the item to allow the applicant time to respond to the concerns raised at the meeting. This is a request for a *Conceptual/Preliminary* Review to demolish the existing unpermitted detached service shop structure within the Streamside Protection Area (SPA) and construct a new detached service shop structure outside the SPA. Also proposed is an addition to the service shop that is part of the main building, an attached vehicle delivery canopy, an overhang addition, and façade improvements. The details of the proposed project are as follows:

- Demolition of an existing unpermitted detached service shop structure as required by 19-074-DP – 2,385 sq. ft.
- Construction of a new detached service shop structure (relocated outside the SPA as required by 19-074-DP 3,831 sq. ft.
- Construction of a new service drive and auto-detailing addition in main building 2,116 sq. ft.
- Construction of a new attached vehicle delivery canopy 690 sq. ft.
- Reconfiguration of the parking in the rear area 76 spaces total (plus 85 display/inventory spaces)
- Construction of façade improvements to Building 1 (see Discussion below)
- Installation of new landscaping

The new net building square footage added for the project will be 4,302 sq. ft.

The project is located on a parcel totaling 2.78 acres located in the Old Town (OT) zone district.

The project was filed by agent Travis Mullenburg of John Mohoney Architects on behalf of Michael Pacheco of VT Companies, property owner.

## BACKGROUND:

The dealership building was constructed in 1987. The current underlying applicable entitlement for this parcel, Development Plan (DP) 19-074-DP, was approved November 2, 2020. At that time Tentative Parcel Map (TPM) 19-072-TPM was approved to split the original parcel into three parcels, one for each dealership. The Final Map associated with the three-lot parcel split was recorded on June 15, 2021. Each of the three dealerships are now on three separate legal lots and operate under three individual development plans.

The 19-074-DP entitlement included the demolition and relocation of the service shop and other minor site improvements. The existing service shop building was constructed without benefits of city review and permits and this project will resolve the issue. The current request, Development Plan Amendment (DPAM) 24-0011-DP, seeks to amend 19-074-DP to include the project described above. The DRB will make Preliminary findings on the design of the project before it is presented to the Planning and Environmental Review Director, who has decision-making authority over Development Plan Amendment 24-0011-DP for the land use of the project.

## PREVIOUS DRB REVIEW:

The DRB previously reviewed this project on February 11, 2025, minutes can be viewed: <u>https://goleta.granicus.com/DocumentViewer.php?file=goleta\_0e1be42b-7baa-4f4f-9e1d-1032b6f01496.pdf&view=1</u>

The DRB provided Conceptual comments at this meeting and did not take action on the project. The DRB comments included concerns with the proposed size, bulk, and scale

of some of the additions, including the proposed Toyota entry portal. To address these concerns, the current proposal includes a scaled back version of the additions and entry portal options that are smaller in scale.

### DISCUSSION:

The entirety of the proposed new square footage for the detached service shop is located behind the existing Building 1 and is not easily visible from the public Right-of-Way. The project also includes additional square footage and façade improvements to Building 1 that will be publicly visible. The majority of the additional square footage for Building 1 is proposed on the east elevation facing Kellogg Ave, while the facade improvements will be on both the east and north elevations. The development is appropriate in size and scale to the on-site development and is compatible with the other uses onsite. The project conforms to Development Regulations for the OT zoning district (height, setbacks, landscaping, etc.).

The structure height of the proposed detached service shop is 18 feet 4 inches and the height of the proposed additions to Building 1 is 19 feet 10 inches, which are consistent with the 35 feet allowed in the OT zone district.

New landscaping is proposed around the perimeter of the site and in the bioswale as part of the site drainage improvements. Existing landscaping will remain as is. Note that the entire western edge of the project site is screened by existing hedges, which provide good screening of the storage yard/service shop building to the property to the west. Privacy of the neighbors will not be altered as no changes to existing landscaping screening are proposed.

New exterior lighting is limited to the project area depicted on the lighting plans and will not increase light levels at the property lines beyond 0.1 foot-candles as shown on the photometric plan. The existing perimeter lighting outside of the project area is not within the scope of this project and will remain as is.

Note that any signage depicted on the plans is for reference only, including on the proposed Toyota signature brand entry portal on the north side of the building. All new signage will be subject to separate design review and permitting.

The Toyota Dealership is within the Old Town Heritage District, and new developments here would typically be subject to the Goleta Old Town Heritage District Architecture and Design Guidelines (Design Guidelines) pursuant to Title 17, Zoning, Section 17.19.030, Permitting and Processing Requirements in the Old Town Heritage Overlay District. However, the proposed service bay building and additions to the existing building are not considered new development for purposes of the Design Guidelines since they are accessory to an approved use that was entitled prior to the Design Guidelines going into effect. Therefore, the Design Guidelines are not applicable.

### ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW (NOE):

Pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code, §§ 21000 et seq.), the regulations promulgated thereunder (14 Cal. Code of Regulations, §§ 15000, et seq.), and the City's Environmental Review Guidelines, the project has been found to be exempt from CEQA. Specifically, the project is categorically exempt from environmental review pursuant to CEQA Guidelines §15332, meeting the conditions described in subsections a-e for in-fill development as follows:

a) The project is consistent with the applicable general plan designation and all applicable general plan policies as well as with applicable zoning designation and regulations.

The General Plan Land Use and Zoning designation for this parcel is OT, which allows certain existing heavy commercial uses in Old Town, such as this auto sales, services and repair, to remain as permitted uses. The project conforms to Development Regulations for the Old Town (OT) zoning district (height, setbacks, landscaping, etc.).

b) The proposed development occurs within city limits on a project site of no more than five acres substantially surrounded by urban uses.

The project is within the Goleta city limits, is 2.78 acres, and is surrounded by urban uses on all sides.

c) The project site has no value as habitat for endangered, rare or threatened species.

The site has no value as habitat for endangered, rare or threatened species as the project site is currently fully developed with commercial uses. The proposed demolition and new construction improvements have been designed to conform to General Plan Policy CE 2.2, which establishes a 100' wide Streamside Protection Area adjacent to creeks to further protect potential habitat areas. To avoid impacts to a variety of common urban-adapted bird species that could be nesting in the area, and protected by the Federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act, the project construction will be conditioned to occur outside the bird nesting season (February 1<sup>st</sup> through September 1<sup>st</sup>). If construction activities must occur during the nesting season, pre-construction bird surveys must be performed by a City qualified biologist twice within one week prior to construction activities.

d) Approval of the project would not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality.

The existing site is developed with auto sales, services and repair and the proposed improvements are in keeping with the existing use occurring on the site. The project is anticipated to generate 14 additional PM Peak Hour trips. Nearby roadways and intersections will still operate at acceptable levels pursuant to General Plan Policy TE 4.1 (LOS C or better). Noise will decrease overall as the

site will no longer use the PA system in the service department. Air quality will be substantially similar to existing levels for the project site. Water quality will increase with the bio-filtration system proposed with the project.

## e) The site can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services.

The site is currently served by all required utilities and public services, and the project will not require an increase in service for any of the required utilities.

The City of Goleta is acting as the Lead Agency and a Notice of Exemption is proposed to be adopted, included as Attachment B.

Moreover, none of State CEQA Guidelines section 15300.2's exceptions to the Class 32 exemption apply to the project. The exception set forth in State CEQA Guidelines section 15300.2(a), by its own terms, does not apply to projects that fall within the Class 32 exemption; moreover, the project will not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern that is designated, precisely mapped, or officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies. Section 15300.2(b)'s exception, relating to cumulative impacts, does not apply as technical reports in the record of proceedings demonstrate that the project would not result in significant cumulative impacts; moreover, there are no other successive projects of the same type in the same place that could result in significant cumulative impacts.

Section 15300.2(c)'s exception does not apply because there are no "unusual circumstances" that apply to the project; construction of commercial buildings as urban infill on commercially zoned land next to existing development does not constitute an unusual circumstance. Section 15300.2(d)'s exception does not apply because the project is not located near a highway officially designated as a state scenic highway. Section 15300.2(e)'s exception does not apply because the project site and off-site improvement locations do not contain hazardous waste and are not on any list compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code. Finally, Section 15300.2(f)'s exception does not apply because the project has no potential of causing a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as there are no buildings of historic significance on the project site nor any nearby that would be impacted by the development and the site has a low potential for discovery of archaeological resources given previous disturbance and the minimal ground disturbance associated with the project.

Consistent with the requirements of the Class 32 requirements above, and pursuant to CEQA Guidelines *§15300.2*, *Exceptions to the Exemption*, the entirety of the project falls within the Class 32 Exemption set forth in State CEQA Guidelines section 15332.

## NEXT STEPS AND ASSOCIATED LAND USE ACTION:

If the DRB grants the applicant's request, the next steps include: (1) a 10-day appeal period (DRB) (2) Director action on the DPAM; (3) a 10-day appeal period following the

Director action; (4) Final DRB Review (if no appeals are filed); (5) ministerial issuance of a Zoning Clearance to effectuate the DPAM; and (6) Building Permit issuance.

If the project is appealed and the appeal is upheld, the DRB's Preliminary Approval will be rescinded and the DRB process will start over.

### ATTACHMENTS:

- A Findings for Approval
- B Notice of Exemption
- C Project Plans
- D Previous Project DRB Review Packet 2020

## ATTACHMENT A

FINDINGS OF APPROVAL

### Attachment A DRB Findings and California Environmental Quality Finding Toyota Development Plan Amendment Case No. 24-0036-DRB

### **DESIGN REVIEW FINDINGS (GMC SECTION 17.58.080)**

1. The development will be compatible with the neighborhood, and its size, bulk and scale will be appropriate to the site and the neighborhood.

Given that the bulk of the new square footage is in the rear storage yard area, screened by the existing building/landscaping and the square footage that is visible from the public Right-of-Way will have a large setback (86 feet when 10 is required), the project will have minimal change as viewed from the public right-of-way. Further, the development is appropriate in size and scale to the on-site development and is compatible with the other uses occurring on site. Lastly, the project has been designed so that the noise generating activities are oriented to face the other dealership uses.

2. Site layout, orientation, and location of structures, including any signage and circulation, are in an appropriate and harmonious relationship to one another and the property.

The site layout, orientation, and location of new structures and modifications are appropriate because many of the improvements associated with the shop area will occur behind existing dealership buildings and will not be readily visible to the public. The changes that are visible to the public are appropriate because they are in keeping with the existing design of the building and the structures are consistent with the height requirements of the zoning ordinance (19 feet 10 inches for the service shop and 16 feet 8 inches for the additions to Building 1 when up to 35 feet is allowed).

3. The development demonstrates a harmonious relationship with existing adjoining development, avoiding both excessive variety as well as monotonous repetition, but allowing similarity of style, if warranted.

The project will have little effect on the appearance of the neighborhood as the majority of the improvements to the service area are screened by the existing building and what will be visible is minor in nature. The changes that are visible are harmonious with the existing dealership on the property and the other commercial buildings along with this portion of S. Kellogg Avenue.

4. There is harmony of material, color, and composition on all sides of structures.

The minimal exterior improvements that are visible from the right-of-way are in keeping with the existing design of the building for colors and materials. The other structural improvements are not visible from the right-of-way.

5. Any outdoor mechanical or electrical equipment is well integrated in the total design and is screened from public view to the maximum extent practicable.

No new outdoor mechanical or electrical equipment is proposed.

6. The site grading is minimized, and the finished topography will be appropriate for the site.

Minimal grading is proposed and will be limited to foundation work for new structures and drainage improvements. The finished topography will remain the same.

7. Adequate landscaping is provided in proportion to the project and the site with due regard to preservation of specimen and protected trees, and existing native vegetation.

New landscaping is limited to the proposed bioswale as part of the site drainage improvements. The existing landscaping at the dealership will remain as is. Note that the entire western edge of the project site is screened by existing hedges, which provide good screening of the storage yard/service shop building to the property to the west.

8. The selection of plant materials is appropriate to the project and its environment, and adequate provisions have been made for long-term maintenance of the plant materials.

The selected plant materials will be appropriate for the bioswale and aesthetically compatible. The remainder of the existing landscaping will remain as is with no changes.

9. All exterior lighting, including for signage, is well designed, appropriate in size and location, and dark-sky compliant.

New exterior lighting is limited to the project area and will not increase light levels at the property lines. The existing perimeter lighting outside of the project area will remain as is. As provided, the photometric plan submitted as part of this project demonstrates that the new lighting fixtures will be meet the city's lighting standards.

10. The project architecture will respect the privacy of neighbors, is considerate of private views, and is protective of solar access off site.

Privacy of the neighbors will not be altered as no changes to existing landscaping screening at the rear of the property are proposed. The entire western edge of the

project site is screened by existing hedges, which provide good screening of the storage yard/service shop building to the property to the west.

11. The proposed development is consistent with any additional design standards as expressly adopted by the City Council. (Ord. 20-03 § 6).

The project is located within the Old Town zoning district and the site is subject to the Old Town Heritage District Architectural and Design Guidelines (Design Guidelines). The proposed service bay building and additions to the existing building are not considered new development for purposes of the Design Guidelines since the service bay building and additions are accessory to the approved use that was entitled prior to the Design Guidelines going into effect. The design of the existing building was approved prior to the Design Guidelines going into effect and the proposed façade improvements are in keeping with the existing design. Therefore, the Design Guidelines are not applicable.

### CALIFORNIA ENVIORNMENTAL QUALITY ACT FINDING

Pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code, §§ 21000 et seq.), the regulations promulgated thereunder (14 Cal. Code of Regulations, §§ 15000, et seq.), and the City's Environmental Review Guidelines, the project has been found to be exempt from CEQA. Specifically, the project is categorically exempt from environmental review pursuant to CEQA Guidelines §15332, meeting the conditions described in subsections a-e for in-fill development as follows:

a) The project is consistent with the applicable general plan designation and all applicable general plan policies as well as with applicable zoning designation and regulations.

The General Plan Land Use and Zoning designation for this parcel is OT, which allows certain existing heavy commercial uses in Old Town, such as this auto sales, services and repair, to remain as permitted uses. The project conforms to Development Regulations for the Old Town (OT) zoning district (height, setbacks, landscaping, etc.).

b) The proposed development occurs within city limits on a project site of no more than five acres substantially surrounded by urban uses.

The project is within the Goleta city limits, is 2.78 acres, and is surrounded by urban uses on all sides.

c) The project site has no value as habitat for endangered, rare or threatened species.

The site has no value as habitat for endangered, rare or threatened species as the project site is currently fully developed with commercial uses. The proposed demolition and new construction improvements have been designed to conform to General Plan Policy CE 2.2, which establishes a 100' wide Streamside Protection Area adjacent to creeks to

further protect potential habitat areas. To avoid impacts to a variety of common urbanadapted bird species that could be nesting in the area, and protected by the Federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act, the project construction will be conditioned to occur outside the bird nesting season (February 1<sup>st</sup> through September 1<sup>st</sup>). If construction activities must occur during the nesting season, pre-construction bird surveys must be performed by a City qualified biologist twice within one week prior to construction activities.

d) Approval of the project would not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality.

The existing site is developed with auto sales, services and repair and the proposed improvements are in keeping with the existing use occurring on the site. The project is anticipated to generate 14 additional PM Peak Hour trips. Nearby roadways and intersections will still operate at acceptable levels pursuant to General Plan Policy TE 4.1 (LOS C or better). Noise will decrease overall as the site will no longer use the PA system in the service department. Air quality will be substantially similar to existing levels for the project site. Water quality will increase with the bio-filtration system proposed with the project.

e) The site can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services.

The site is currently served by all required utilities and public services, and the project will not require an increase in service for any of the required utilities.

The City of Goleta is acting as the Lead Agency and a Notice of Exemption is proposed to be adopted, included as Attachment B.

Moreover, none of State CEQA Guidelines section 15300.2's exceptions to the Class 32 exemption apply to the project. The exception set forth in State CEQA Guidelines section 15300.2(a), by its own terms, does not apply to projects that fall within the Class 32 exemption; moreover, the project will not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern that is designated, precisely mapped, or officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies. Section 15300.2(b)'s exception, relating to cumulative impacts, does not apply as technical reports in the record of proceedings demonstrate that the project would not result in significant cumulative impacts; moreover, there are no other successive projects of the same type in the same place that could result in significant cumulative impacts.

Section 15300.2(c)'s exception does not apply because there are no "unusual circumstances" that apply to the project; construction of commercial buildings as urban infill on commercially zoned land next to existing development does not constitute an unusual circumstance. Section 15300.2(d)'s exception does not apply because the project is not located near a highway officially designated as a state scenic highway. Section 15300.2(e)'s exception does not apply because the project site and off-site improvement locations do not contain hazardous waste and are not on any list compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code. Finally, Section 15300.2(f)'s

exception does not apply because the project has no potential of causing a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as there are no buildings of historic significance on the project site nor any nearby that would be impacted by the development and the site has a low potential for discovery of archaeological resources given previous disturbance and the minimal ground disturbance associated with the project.

Consistent with the requirements of the Class 32 requirements above, and pursuant to CEQA Guidelines *§15300.2, Exceptions to the Exemption,* the entirety of the project falls within the Class 32 Exemption set forth in State CEQA Guidelines section 15332.

## ATTACHMENT B

## **CEQA NOTICE OF EXEMPTION**

- To: Office of Planning and Research P.O. Box 3044, 1400 Tenth St. Rm. 212 Sacramento, CA 95812-3044
  - Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
    County of Santa Barbara
    105 E. Anapamu Street, Room 407
    Santa Barbara, CA 93101
- From: City of Goleta 130 Cremona Drive, Suite B Goleta, CA 93117



**Subject:** Filing of Notice of Exemption

### **Project Title:**

Toyota Development Plan Amendment and Design Review Case No. 24-0036-DRB and 24-0011-DP

### **Project Applicant:**

Travis Muilenburg of John Mohoney Architects On behalf of Michael Pacheco of VT Companies, Property Owner

### **Project Location (Address and APN):**

5611 Hollister Avenue Goleta, CA 93117 County of Santa Barbara APN: 071-140-083

#### Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries of Project:

Demolish the existing detached service shop structure within the Streamside Protection Area (SPA) and construct a new detached service shop structure outside the SPA. Also proposed is an addition to the service shop that is part of the main building, an attached vehicle delivery canopy, an overhang addition, and façade improvements. The total amount of square footage to be added is 10, 585 sq. ft.

The purpose of the project is to remove an existing unpermitted service structure located withing the SPA and provide other site improvements to the existing automobile dealership. The beneficiary of the project is the property owner.

### Name of Public Agency Approving the Project:

Design Review Board of the City of Goleta

### Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out the Project:

Travis Muilenburg of John Mohoney Architects On behalf of Michael Pacheco of VT Companies, Property Owner

### **Exempt Status:**

☑ Categorical Exemption: § 15332 (a-e) (infill developments)

### Reason(s) why the project is exempt:

Pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code, §§ 21000 et seq.), the regulations promulgated thereunder (14 Cal. Code of Regulations, §§ 15000, et seq.), and the City's Environmental Review

1

Guidelines, the project has been found to be exempt from CEQA. Specifically, the project is categorically exempt from environmental review pursuant to CEQA Guidelines §15332, meeting the conditions described in subsections a-e for in-fill development as follows:

a) The project is consistent with the applicable general plan designation and all applicable general plan policies as well as with applicable zoning designation and regulations.

The General Plan Land Use and Zoning designation for this parcel is OT, which allows certain existing heavy commercial uses in Old Town, such as this auto sales, services and repair, to remain as permitted uses. The project conforms to Development Regulations for the Old Town (OT) zoning district (height, setbacks, landscaping, etc.).

b) The proposed development occurs within city limits on a project site of no more than five acres substantially surrounded by urban uses.

The project is within the Goleta city limits, is 2.78 acres, and is surrounded by urban uses on all sides.

c) The project site has no value as habitat for endangered, rare or threatened species.

The site has no value as habitat for endangered, rare or threatened species as the project site is currently fully developed with commercial uses. The proposed demolition and new construction improvements have been designed to conform to General Plan Policy CE 2.2, which establishes a 100' wide Streamside Protection Area adjacent to creeks to further protect potential habitat areas. To avoid impacts to a variety of common urban-adapted bird species that could be nesting in the area, and protected by the Federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act, the project construction will be conditioned to occur outside the bird nesting season (February 1<sup>st</sup> through September 1<sup>st</sup>). If construction activities must occur during the nesting season, pre-construction bird surveys must be performed by a City qualified biologist twice within one week prior to construction activities.

d) Approval of the project would not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality.

The existing site is developed with auto sales, services and repair and the proposed improvements are in keeping with the existing use occurring on the site. The project is anticipated to generate 14 additional PM Peak Hour trips. Nearby roadways and intersections will still operate at acceptable levels pursuant to General Plan Policy TE 4.1 (LOS C or better). Noise will decrease overall as the site will no longer use the PA system in the service department. Air quality will be substantially similar to existing levels for the project site. Water quality will increase with the bio-filtration system proposed with the project.

e) The site can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services.

The site is currently served by all required utilities and public services, and the project will not require an increase in service for any of the required utilities.

The City of Goleta is acting as the Lead Agency and a Notice of Exemption is proposed to be adopted, included as Attachment B.

Moreover, none of State CEQA Guidelines section 15300.2's exceptions to the Class 32 exemption apply to the project. The exception set forth in State CEQA Guidelines section 15300.2(a), by its own terms, does not apply to projects that fall within the Class 32 exemption; moreover, the project will not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern that is designated, precisely mapped, or officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies. Section 15300.2(b)'s exception, relating to cumulative impacts, does not apply as technical reports in the record of proceedings demonstrate that the project would not result in significant cumulative impacts; moreover, there are no other successive projects of the same type in the same place that could result in significant cumulative impacts.

Section 15300.2(c)'s exception does not apply because there are no "unusual circumstances" that apply to the project; construction of commercial buildings as urban infill on commercially zoned land next to existing development does not constitute an unusual circumstance. Section 15300.2(d)'s exception does not apply because the project is not located near a highway officially designated as a state scenic highway. Section 15300.2(e)'s exception does not apply because the project site and off-site improvement locations do not contain hazardous waste and are not on any list compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code. Finally, Section 15300.2(f)'s exception does not apply because the project has no potential of causing a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as there are no buildings of historic significance on the project site nor any nearby that would be impacted by the development and the site has a low potential for discovery of archaeological resources given previous disturbance and the minimal ground disturbance associated with the project.

Consistent with the requirements of the Class 32 requirements above, and pursuant to CEQA Guidelines §15300.2, Exceptions to the Exemption, the entirety of the project falls within the Class 32 Exemption set forth in State CEQA Guidelines section 15332.

### City of Goleta Contact Person, Telephone Number, and Email:

Brian Hiefield, Associate Planner 805-961-7559; bhiefield@cityofgoleta.org

Signature

Title

Date

### If filed by the applicant:

- 1. Attach certified document of exemption finding
- 2. Has a Notice of Exemption been filed by the public agency approving the project? □Yes

□No

Date received for filing at OPR:

Note: Authority cited: Section 21083 and 211110, Public Resources Code Reference: Sections 21108, 21152.1, Public Resources Code

## ATTACHMENT C

## **PROJECT PLANS**











# SANTA BARBARA TOYOTA - SHOP ADDITION CONCEPTUAL CIVIL PLAN

## **CITY OF GOLETA**

#### PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

THE PROJECT CONSISTS OF DEMOLISHING AND RELOCATING AN EXISTING, UNPERMITTED DETACHED SERVICE CANOPY STRUCTURE IN ORDER TO MEET THE CITY OF GOLETA'S 100-FOOT STREAM BIDE PROTECTION AREA (SPA) BUFFER REQUIREMENTS, A NEW SERVICE SHOP, SERVICE DRIVE AND SHOWROOM ADDITIONS ARE ALSO PROPOSED, A DETACHED NEW CAR DELIVERY CANOPY WILL BE LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE BUILDING, A NEW TOYOTA BRAND WALL IS PROPOSED AT THE ENTRY OF THE BUILDING.

#### PROJECT APPLICANT:

VAG TSBCA RE, LLC

#### PROPERTY INFORMATION:

5611 HOLLISTER AVE, GOLETA, CA 93117 APN: 071-140-083

#### **CIVIL ENGINEER:**

DIAMOND WEST, INC. 23801 CALABASAS RD, SUITE 1034, CALABASAS, CA 91302 (818) 591-1050

#### ARCHITECT:

JOHN MAHONEY ARCHITECT 850 W. ELLIOT ROAD, SUITE #108, TEMPE, ARIZONA 85284 (480) 345-8457

#### SURVEYOR:

CENTERGEO 2828 CALLE QUEBRACHO, THOUSAND OAKS, CA 91360 (323) 401-7776

#### MEP:

MECHANICAL DESIGNS, INC. 7227 N. 16TH STREET, SUITE#200, PHOENIX, AZ 85020

#### ZONING AND GENERAL PLAN:

GENERAL PLAN : OLD TOWN AND GENERAL COMMERCIAL PLAN ZONING: C-2 RETAIL COMMERCIAL, C-3 GENERAL COMMERCIAL

#### AREA:

GROSS/NET LOT AREA: 121,100.82 SF (2.78 AC)

#### LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

THE LAND REFERRED TO HEREIN BELOW IS SITUATED IN THE CITY OF GOLETA, IN THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IS DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

PARCEL 1: (APN: 071-140-083 PER COUNTY ASSESSOR'S MAP)

ALL OF PARCEL 1, IN THE CITY OF GOLETA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS SHOWN ON OF PARCEL MAP NO. 32,063 FILED JUNE 15, 2021 IN BOOK 67, PAGES 26 THROUGH 30, INCLUSIVE OF PARCEL MAPS IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

#### PARCEL 2:

AN EASEMENT TO USE, IMPROVE, REPAIR AND MAINTAIN A ROAD UPON AND ACROSS THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED PROPERTY: A PORTION OF RANCHO LA GOLETA AS PER MAP RECORDED IN BOOK A OF PATEINTS OF PAGE 4 IN THE OFFICE OF THE RECORDER OF SANTA BREARA COUNTY, AND FURTHER DESCRIBED. BEGINNING IN THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF THAT CERTAIN PARCEL OF LAND DESCRIBED IN DED TO THE PACIFIC TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY, A CORPORATION, RECORDED JUNE 3, 1965. IN BOOK 1318 AT PAGE 100 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SADD COUNTY; THENCE, NORTH 89° 11' WEST, EIGHT FEET, THENCE, NORTH 0° 69° EAST, PARALLEL WITH THE CENTER LINE OF THAT COUNTY ROAD, 40 FEET WIDE, AS SADD ROAD IS SHOWN ON A MAP FILED IN BOOK 28' OF RECORDS OF SURVEY AT PAGE 31 IN THE OFFICE OF SADD COUNTY ROAD, 40 FEET WIDE, OFFICE THORE, THENCE UTHE OFFICE OF SADD COUNTY ROAD, 40 FEET WIDE, OUF FEET WIDE, THENCE, WESTERLY ALONG SADD SOUTHENLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE TO THE POINT OF INTERSECTION OF THE CENTRELY RIGHT OF WAY LINE TO THE POINT OF INTERSECTION OF THE CENTRELY RIGHT OF WAY LINE TO THE POINT OF INTERSECTION OF THE CENTRELY RIGHT OF SUNTY CAND. 40 FEET WIDE, THENCE, NORTH 0° 56' EAST, DOINT ROAD, 40 FEET WIDE, THENCE, SOUTH 0° 56' EAST, 146,40 FEET, THENCE, SOUTH 89° 11' FAST, 20 FEET, THENCE, NORTH 0° 56' EAST, 50 FEET TO THE POINT OF ISCINNING.

#### PARCEL 3:

AN EASEMENT, 4 FEET IN WIDTH, FOR RECIPROCAL LANDSCAPE AND DRAINAGE IN FAVOR OF PARCEL ONE OF PARCEL MAP 32,063, OVER AND THROUGH PARCEL TWO, AS SHOWN ON THE MAP REFERRED TO HEREINABOVE.

071-140-083 per County Assessor's Map

#### FLOOD ZONE:

ZONE AO, X AND AE PER FEMA FIRM MAP No. 06083C1362H DATED SEPTEMBER 28, 2019.

А	BB	RE	VIA	TIC	NS:
---	----	----	-----	-----	-----

APN	ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER
BLDG	BUILDING
CB	CATCH BASIN
CL	CENTER LINE
CONC	CONCRETE
DA	DAMETER
DWY	DRIVEWAY
EG	EXISTING GROUND
ELEC	ELECTRICAL
EP	EDGE OF PAVEMENT
ESMT	EASEMENT
EX	EXISTING
FF	FINISHED FLOOR
FG	FINISHED GROUND
FH	FIRE HYDRANT
FL	FLOW LINE
FS	FINISHED SURFACE
FT	FEET
GB	GRADE BREAK
GF	GARAGE FLOOR
н	HEIGHT
HC	HANDICAPPED/ACCESSIBLE SPACE
HP	HIGH POINT
NV	NVERT
MB	MAP BOOK
MC	MOTORCYCLE
MH	MANHOLE (UTILITY)
PA	PLANTER AREA
P/L	PROPERTY LINE
PP	POWER POLE
PR	PROPOSED
RIM	RIM ELEVATION RIGHT OF WAY
ROW	
SD SF	STORM DRAIN SECOND FLOOR
SW	SIDEWALK
SWR	SEWER
TC	TOP OF CURB
TEL	TELEPHONE
TG	TOP OF GRATE
TS	TRAFFIC SIGNAL
TW	TOP OF WALL
(TYP)	TYPICAL
UNKN	UNKNOWN
UP	UTILITY POLE
WM	WATER METER
WTR	WATER

WATER VALVE

w

#### SHEET INDEX:

SHEET C-1 - COVER SHEET SHEET C-2 - EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN SHEET C-3 - CONCEPTUAL GRADING PLAN SHEET C-4 - CONCEPTUAL EROSION CONTROL PLAN SHEET C-5 - EROSION CONTROL DETAILS AND NOTES SHEET C-6 - GRADING AND STORMWATER DETAILS



23









#### Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) General Notes: 3 . 5.

- 6
- hardraft to oft-arise property shall be stabilized or removed from the site on a day bane. All shit and define shall be removed from all devices similor 24 hours after each praisestarm and be a good shall be presented from all devices similor 24 hours after each praisestarm and be a good shall be presented on the site whenever the depth of vater in any device exceeds two feet. The device shall be arised on properly dry whith 24 hours after each praisestarm and be a good shall be presented and arise and arises are must comply must comply with the appropriate BWP for the device shall be instead on the field Explorer. Additional devices as needed shall be installed to risks and arises and other plakations on site. The placement is and other plakations on site. Some water builds and placement of the Badding Official. Some water builds and placement of the Badding Official. Some water builds and placement of these devices is the responsibility of the field explorer. The advice stability and placement of these devices is the responsibility of the field explorer. The advice stability and placement of these devices is the adviced, as needed, as the project progresses, the design and placement of these devices is the adviced, as needed, as the project progresses, the design and placement of these devices is the adviced, as needed, as the project at a difference. Evelow definition and fracement the dischalge of non-balanm water from the project sites at all times. Evelow defined the reduce construction-resided market and the project allows at a difference and other polaritation must be tradiend on-site and may not be transported from bransported from the site by the torses of wind or water. Such advices correct any not be earble and to be publication and the project and from the site of the difference advices with the likeling and projected from the site by the torse of wind or water. Such advices correct any not be earble and the public way for any other dransperiod from the sisses of any advice 8. 9.
- 10. 11.
- 12.
- 13. 14.

- Excess or waste concrete may not be wathed into the public way or any owner usuage system. Provisions waste bail be made to retain concrete wates on-mile will here can be diposed of as said.
  Developers/contractors are responsible to inspect all Exotion Control Devices and BVPs are installed and hardbeing properly if there is a 502 or geneter strability of prediced precisions, and are actual precipitation. A construction will impection checklish and impection tog, shall be made to the and hardbeing properly if there is a 502 or geneter strability or prediced precisions, and are actual precipitation. A construction will impect on exolution usors moused as a strategies of the strate of any strategies of the strategies of the self-impect on these kits and a mercipitation (section checklish and impection tog). The constructions are interpreted as a strategies of the strategies of the strategies of the self-impect on the strategies of the strategies of the strategies of the self-impect on the strategies of the strategies of the strategies of the self-impect on the strategies of the strategies of the strategies of the self-impect on the strategies of the strategies of the strategies of the strategies of the self-impect on the strategies of the strategies of the strategies of the strategies of the self-impect of the strategies of the strategies of the strategies of the strategies of the self-impect of the strategies of the strategies of the strategies of the strategies of the self-impect of the strategies of the strategies of the strategies of the strategies of the self-impect of the strategies of the strategies of the strategies of the self-impect of the strategies of the strategies of the strategies of the strategies of the self-impect of the strategies of the strategies of the strategies of the strategies of the self-impect of the strategies of the strategies of the strategies of the self-impect of the strategies of the strategies of the strategies of the self-impect o





EROSION CONTROL DETAILS AND NOTES SANTA BARBARA TOYOTA



DATE: 04/24/2025 SHEET C-5 OF 6











5611 Hollister Ave GOLETA, CA

Aerial Photo of Site



5611 Hollister Ave

GOLETA, CA



CONCERN

18301 VON KARMAN AVE STE 760, IRVINE, CA 92612





May 19, 2025 L-2

30



Sweet Bay Laurus nobilis



Chinese Pistache - Existing Pistacia chinensis



Windmill Palm - Existing Trachycarpus fortunei



Mexican Fan Palm - Existing Washingtonia robusta





5611 Hollister Ave GOLETA, CA

Tree & Vine Palette



Blue Elf Aloe Aloe 'Blue Elf'



Rock Purslane Calandrinia spectabilis



Little Rev Flax Lily Dianella revoluta 'Little Rev' [DR500]



Sweet Bay Laurus nobilis



Dwarf Mat Rush Lomandra longifolia 'Breeze' [LM300]



Platinum Beauty Mat Rush Lomandra longifolia 'Roma 13'



Rush Juncus patens



Little Ollie Dwarf Olive Olea europaea 'Montra'



Yeddo Hawthorn Rhaphiolepis umbellata



Teucrium chamaedrys Germander





5611 Hollister Ave GOLETA, CA

Shrub Palette





Ð








					e Resúment 1			Audient (1))
<text></text>								-
<text></text>		I Mart Latin Street	No. of Street,	er la desp	the second second second	Contraction of the local division of the loc		and the second se
			and realistic for a	dans."ht	And in case of the local division of the loc	and the second	Contraction of the local distribution of the	-
	wing windows	-	Contraction of the	real and the	and rangement. Sale	string stream.	1000	
			g with produ		a sulfar at mil	propriet and the		
			d'und					
<complex-block></complex-block>							I I I I	102
							100	(mm)
							40-	- ( ir
				and here			(10mml	B
			10					8 Multi-Land/Mustauth
								Programmable Multi-4. Sensor location brides
				_				at option)
					-		1	
		-	-		and the second second	Contra Lation	AC A	
	At 21 10	second in Frank	the Louger 1	-	page for an inclusion.		11.11	
	mint Bartan	theory and	a significant harms	an Loop fa	Berneth Back Into Tax			F
	performants	ALC: NO		_			10 ° "	
			Among Among A		contract to and particular		(Difference)	ER Next Sachth Insurian
	NUMBER	_	_	_		_	11	
	a contract		-	-	-		185	
	100 X 8	tion ( but to )	111	2			21.12	
	- minely)							P
	1.18		100	Autom Sera	gener later 200 for	-		
	And in case of the local division of the loc	the summing the state						
			1. 1998	and the second s	-			
				- Andrews				100.00
	Notion and Re		1.000	100.000	and the local data			
And the set of the set			- i					
And the set of the set								
	ALC: N 10.00	and had in	án -					
	W 17	_	1					
	1000	-	-	-	-	And in case		
		A	3447	-				and statistic large.
Image: State				Tree 1 Mar		and these		-
Image: State		13.000		dit .	10 million	<b>1</b>		di teacon laterdari
		÷					and the second second second	The state of the s
		ħ			contrain aprice and		- for propheric deglariset	summer states, a
					5. ·		St. S.A. com	1.00
					-And all only with		-0104	
					- National able with the barbar and base		- fair and other and interfacements	
CREE ≑ LIGH								
CREE ÷ LIGH							27	
CREE ≑ LIGH								
Latinger With the					and the second second	No. of Street,	a Bater	
Latinger With the			211	ar anjur balla	ey bending a 11 <sup>4</sup> above		Constitution of the local division of the lo	
the second	) сиес +	20		a arju 146	ay signality a 13 <sup>4</sup> adulta		Constitution of the local division of the lo	IGHTIN
				e sije bet	ay tapenting at 12 <sup>4</sup> aductor		Constitution of the local division of the lo	IGHTIN
		and the late		a 194 hai	ay tapenting a 13 <sup>th</sup> aductor		Constitution of the local division of the lo	IGHTIN
		NUCLEAR ADDR		a 194 hai	ay teachy a 117 alocto		Constitution of the local division of the lo	IGHTIN
		NUCLEAR ADDR		er solger findler	ay ingentiny a 137 alasta		Constitution of the local division of the lo	IGHTIN
		NUCLEAR ADDR		er sojur helio	ay ingentiny a 137 alasta		Constitution of the local division of the lo	IGHTIN

Anna Angel (\* 1. 2. 17)

Ì The local date of the local da

> 1000

Set 0
<th

225

CREE + LIGHTING

Section Distances

win by

of contrasts which one SHELDED AND FACHIG DOMINIAPOS ("O" UPUGHT) ----- TYPES: "SB/SD"

JOHN MAHONEY A R C H I T E C T 850 W. ELLIOT ROAD, #1 TEMPE, ARIZONA 852 P4803458457 www.mbonyach PRELMINAR NOT FOR CONSTRUCTIO ۱**س**ا



TOYOTA OF SANTA BARBRA 5611 HOLLISTER AVENUE 60LETA, CALIFORNIA 93117







SITE LIGHTING CUTSHEETS **ES13** 

37

























# ATTACHMENT D

PREVIOUS PROJECT DRB PACKET



# DESIGN REVIEW BOARD Staff Report

Agenda Item C.1 Meeting Date: September 22, 2020

TO:Goleta Design Review BoardFROM:Brian Hiefield, Associate Planner; (805) 961-7559

SUBJECT: 495 South Kellogg Avenue (APN 071-140-068) AKA: 475 South Kellogg Avenue (Honda) AKA: 425 South Kellogg Avenue (Nissan) AKA: 5611 Hollister Avenue (Toyota) Kellogg Auto Center Parcel Map and Development Plans Case No. 20-0009-DRB

## **PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

This is a request for *Conceptual/Preliminary Design Review* approval. The Catalina Barber Corporation is requesting approval of a Tentative Parcel Map (TPM) to divide the property identified as APN 071-140-068, totaling approximately 7.82 acres, into three parcels of 2.62 acres, 2.31 acres, and 2.89 acres. The site is currently developed with three existing automobile dealerships, each of which will be located on its own parcel as a result of approval and subsequent recordation of the proposed TPM. The property currently has split zoning districts. The Toyota and Nissan dealerships are currently within the Old Town (OT) Zoning District. The Honda Dealership, located on the southern end of the parcel, is within the General Commercial (CG) Zoning District.

In addition to the TPM, individual Development Plans are proposed for each dealership. Further, additional building square footage is proposed at the Honda and Toyota dealerships. Lastly, landscape changes are proposed at each of the dealership properties. The proposed building and landscape changes are within the DRB purview and are the subject of this review. The TPM and individual Developments Plans will be considered by the Zoning Administrator after the conclusion of the DRB's review on the Preliminary Design Review approval. The changes are outlined below for each dealership.

## Honda Dealership

The Honda site is currently being used as an automobile sales and service dealership comprised of an 8,700 square foot sales showroom/parts building and two enclosed service bay buildings totally 10,150 square feet. The service bays are accessed through an open-air drive with a total of (18) service stalls. The total area of the existing development is approximately 18,850 square feet.

Design Review Board Staff Report 20-0009-DRB September 22, 2020 Page 2 of 4

The Catalina Barber Corporation is requesting approval of an As-Built Development Plan for the Honda site to reflect the existing development on-site and to allow for a new showroom and additional canopy structures. The new show room space would be created through the enclosure of the existing covered canopy (1,735 S.F.) at the showroom/parts building. The exterior of the showroom will reflect Honda's current color scheme for their dealerships as shown in the attached color board. The proposed unenclosed canopy structures would accommodate additional auto services and storage. The new additional canopy structures include the following:

- parts expansion to the showroom/parts building (300 S.F.);
- open service bay addition to the west end of the existing showroom/parts building (1,800 S.F.);
- service building B canopy expansion (625 S.F.);
- new car delivery canopy to northeast elevation of the showroom/parts building (1,200 S.F.); and
- a detail canopy on the southwest corner of the lot (1,200 S.F.).
- All new canopy structures will be 20 feet in height or less.

Proposed additions total approximately 6,860 square feet. Note that approximately 2,000 square feet of the proposed additions to the showroom and parts building are proposed in areas that are currently under an existing roof/canopy. New landscaping is proposed at the Honda dealership to abate a zoning violation related to previously removed landscaping. This consists primarily of replacing trees around the perimeter of the dealership and adding some low shrubs and grasses to the entire site. Trash facilities will be relocated within a new trash-enclosure. Operating hours will not change. All new construction will comply with required setbacks and height requirements. As the newly constructed square footage would be 20 feet in height or less, story poles were not required. The portion of the showroom that exceeds 20 feet in height is an architectural projection on the existing building and not considered new square footage.

## Toyota Dealership

The Toyota dealership includes approximately 18,000 square feet of showroom, offices, and service areas. The Catalina Barber Corporation is requesting to remove an approximately 2,400 square foot service bay built without the benefit of city review or permits. An approximately 3,000 square foot service bay will be rebuilt outside a 100' riparian setback at the rear of the Toyota dealership. Also, an unpermitted canopy type structure and a small shed (both with no foundation) currently located in the 100' riparian setback will be removed. Functions currently taking place in the canopy type structure will be incorporated into the service bay that will be rebuilt outside the 100' riparian setback. Trash facilities will be relocated within a new trash-enclosure. Operating hours will not change. The colors and materials of the canopy structure will match the existing buildings on site. All new construction will comply with required setbacks and height

Design Review Board Staff Report 20-0009-DRB September 22, 2020 Page 3 of 4

requirements. As the newly constructed square footage would be 20 feet in height or less, story poles were not required.

## Nissan Dealership

The Nissan dealership includes approximately 18,000 square feet of showroom, offices, and service areas. New landscaping is proposed at the Nissan dealership to abate a zoning violation related to previously removed landscaping. This consists primarily of replacing trees on the site frontage and adding some low shrubs and grasses to the interior of the site. Operating hours will not change. No additional square footage is proposed.

## **DISCUSSION:**

# • Goleta Old Town Heritage District Guidelines (Design Guidelines):

The Toyota Dealership part of the property is within the Heritage District, making new development on this portion of the site potentially subject to the Design Guidelines. However, the proposed service bay is not considered new development since it is accessory to an approved use (dealership) that was entitled prior to the Design Guidelines going into effect. Therefore, the Design Guidelines are not applicable.

## • Trash Enclosures:

All trash enclosures must either comply with the setback requirements in the applicable zoning designation or request a setback adjustment from the review authority, which is the Zoning Administrator. Trash enclosures must also meet access and circulation requirements, which are still being discussed with the Public Works Department.

## **ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW:**

Pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code, §§ 21000 et seq.), the regulations promulgated thereunder (14 Cal. Code of Regulations, §§ 15000, et seq.), and the City's Environmental Review Guidelines, the project has been found to be exempt from CEQA and a Notice of Exemption is proposed. The City of Goleta is acting as the Lead Agency for this project.

The proposed parcel map is fewer than four parcels (three parcels). The project is in an urbanized area where all public services and facilities are available and is not located within an environmentally sensitive area. The combined total of all proposed development is less than 10,000 S.F. (9,860 S.F.), requiring minimal grading or trenching. Therefore, the project has been found to be exempt from CEQA pursuant to §§ 15301(e) (Additions to existing structures), 15303(c)(e)

Design Review Board Staff Report 20-0009-DRB September 22, 2020 Page 4 of 4

(Limited commercial buildings & accessory structures), 15304(a)(f) (Minor grading & trenching) and 15315 (Minor Land Divisions).

## NEXT STEPS:

If the DRB grants the applicant's request for Preliminary Approval and recommends approval to the Zoning Administrator (ZA), the next steps include: (1) a public hearing by the ZA to consider the parcel map and each Development Plan, (2) a 10-day appeal period, (3) Final review by the DRB, (4) approval of a Final Map by the City Council, and (5) a ministerial issuance of a Post Discretionary Zoning Clearance for each Development Plan.

# ATTACHMENTS:

- Findings
- Reduced 11" x 17" copies of parcel map, project plans, and color board.

# Draft Preliminary Review Findings Section 17.58.080 Of the Goleta Municipal Code 495 S. Kellogg Ave. AKA: 475 S. Kellogg Ave. AKA: 425 S. Kellogg Ave. AKA: 5611 Hollister Ave. Case No. 20-0009-DRB Kellogg Auto Center Parcel Map and Development Plans

#### Neighborhood Compatibility

- A. The development will be compatible with the neighborhood, and its size, bulk and scale will be appropriate to the site and the neighborhood.
- C. The development demonstrates a harmonious relationship with existing adjoining development, avoiding both excessive variety as well as monotonous repetition, but allowing similarity of style, if warranted.
- J. The project architecture will respect the privacy of neighbors, is considerate of private views, and is protective of solar access off site.

Given the de minimis size of the proposed site improvements for the three dealerships, the site configuration, size, bulk and scale will have minimal change as viewed from the public right-of-way. The same is true for the site layout, orientation, and location of structures and buildings. The project will have little effect on the appearance of the neighborhood as the improvements include enclosing existing roof/canopy space and new canopy structures that are at the rear and screened by the existing buildings. The structure height of the proposed service bay at Toyota is 20 feet, which is consistent with the 30 feet allowed in the OT zone district. The structure height of the proposed service bay at Honda is also 20 feet, which is consistent with the 35 feet allowed in the GC zone district. The proposed architectural projection over the existing Honda showroom would have a maximum height of 27 feet, which is consistent with the 35 feet allowed in the GC zone district. Nissan is not proposing additional square footage. There is not a maximum lot coverage requirement for either the OT or GC zone districts, but for reference, Toyota, Nissan and Honda have approximately a 13%, 19% and 15% lot coverage respectively.

Some residential and a hotel exist to the rear of the property. However, privacy of the neighbors will not be altered as no changes to existing landscaping screening at the rear of the property are proposed. Note: The entire western edge of the project site is screened by hedges. Building height will have a minimal increase with the project, with the only increase being the architectural projection above the existing Honda showroom. The architectural projection is proposed to be 27 feet, which is only 3 feet higher than the existing roofline at 24 feet. Therefore, the project will not adversely affect private views and solar access.

#### Quality of Architectural Design

- B. Site layout, orientation, and location of structures, including any signage and circulation, are in an appropriate and harmonious relationship to one another and the property. D. There is harmony of material, color, and composition on all sides of structures.
- E. Any outdoor mechanical or electrical equipment is well integrated in the total design and is screened from public view to the maximum extent practicable.
- I. All exterior lighting, including for signage, is well designed, appropriate in size and location, and dark-sky compliant.

The minimal exterior improvements on the Honda site that are visible from the right-ofway are in keeping with the existing design of the building as it is enclosing an existing roof/canopy structure. The proposed architectural projection over the existing showroom in only a 3-foot increase in height and is consistent with height requirements in the Zoning Ordinance. The other structural improvements on the Honda site and the Toyota site are not visible from the right-of-way. Nissan is not proposing additional square footage. Minimal changes are proposed to the lighting approved with the existing entitlement for the whole site. The new exterior lighting proposed at Honda for the proposed service bay will be downward focused and dark sky compliant. No signage is proposed.

#### Quality of Landscape Design

- F. The site grading is minimized, and the finished topography will be appropriate for the site.
- G. Adequate landscaping is provided in proportion to the project and the site with due regard to preservation of specimen and protected trees, and existing native vegetation.
- H. The selection of plant materials is appropriate to the project and its environment, and adequate provisions have been made for long-term maintenance of the plant materials.

Much of the landscaping proposed is replacing landscaping required under the existing entitlement that had been removed, including replacing several trees around the perimeter of the site along the Hollister Ave, S. Kellogg Ave., Kellogg Pl., and Kellogg Way frontages. The new landscaping proposed is in keeping with the approved landscaping for the original entitlement. No grading is proposed with the project aside from what minimal trenching is required for structure footings and/or foundations. No import or export of fill material is proposed.

#### Zoning

K. The proposed development is consistent with any additional design standards as expressly adopted by the City Council.

The new development is consistent with pertinent zoning and design standards. Further, the City Council has not adopted any additional design standards applicable to the use or the zone district. Interaction with the Public Right-of-Way will require review and approval by Public Works.