

From: [chris hall](#)
To: [City Clerk Group](#)
Subject: Item 19-229 Proposed Ordinance regarding Wireless Facilities in the Public Rights-of-Way, Fee Resolution and Master License Agreement
Date: Thursday, May 02, 2019 11:29:43 AM

Dear Council members and all affected:

This agreement sounds thorough, I particularly like reference to "performance securities for removal upon abandonment" even though once an entity such as a utility goes belly up, ppl like us would still be left hanging, regardless of contracts.

Assuming this has all the scrutiny possible, it seems Goleta is obligated to set up the document as suggested by legal counsel to save lawsuits. Hopefully 5G won't fry us all.

I find it perplexing that as 5G is bragged about by everyone involved (who stand to profit,) little is said about the shorter distance between poles - nice it is mentioned here. Although the distance for the other protocol (4G?) is undefined here, it is understood that that is the reason for the additional devices, and that by defining requirements minutely beforehand this will save money and staff time.

Thank you for your service to our community.

Chris Hall