Hi,

I am writing to oppose the 27 apartments being built on Hollister. It will cause more traffic on top of the traffic we already have. It is too big already.

Sincerely,

Gabriella Moreno Resident of Goleta, CA 7176 Del Norte Drive Goleta, CA 93117 Goleta City officials,

Is the EIR for the 1.84 correct and up to date? Is a report on the current conditions that impact the 1.84-acre site available?

Why did staff recommendation that the Planning Commission adopt the Resolution recommending to the City Council?

Adoption of EIR Addendum; Approve GPA and Zone Change from commercial to residential; approve LLA for 3 lots; and Approve development plan revision and associated setback modifications?

Can the City make a settlement agreement with a developer guaranteeing the approval of the project before going through the Planning Commission, DBR and other proper channels?

Please explain what's going on.

Why not make the 1.84-acre lot a dog park for all the dogs living at Hollister Village?

I am opposed to this project because of the traffic and have been from the start. Say no.

Frances Au

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

Mayor Paula Perotte, Mayor Pro Tempore Stuart Kasdin, and City Councilmembers Aceves, Bennett, and Richards,

Please vote no at the May 21 hearing on the Hollister Village General Plan Amendment, land use, setback, lot line adjustment, and zone change.

City Paperwork:

*Recently the City and applicant entered into a settlement agreement to **consider** a 27-unit apartment project.

*If the 27-unit apartment project is rejected, the applicant will be entitled to construct the commercial and live-work project, already approved for the 1.84-acre site.

*A legal challenge by a nearby resident resulted in a settlement agreement to not build the commercial project on the 1.84-acre site.

This translates to, if the City rejects the 27 apartments, the applicant will not be able to build the commercial due to the lawsuit. The applicant can put in another modification without commercial, which will also need the City Council's approval.

The Planning Commission Committee April 22, 2019, based their approval on the misinterpretation of if not the apartments, the commercial would be built. Otherwise, they would have disapproved. Please take this into consideration.

I question the park location and size.

Goleta residents have voiced their disapproval of this project from the beginning at past meetings. Disapproval on issues that this project was too big and too much traffic.

You heard and denied Hollister Village before, please deny them again.

Sincerely,

Susie Murray

Goleta Mayor & City Council,

The 1.84-acre hill is a barrier for homeowners across Glen Annie Rd from the noise and traffic of the commercial buildings and apartments. Leave it, minus the fence. It's far better than anything Hollister Village developers are willing to put there and will not add any traffic.

A traffic report on Hollister Village reads, "The adjacent streets, Glen Annie and Hollister are adequate and properly designed to accommodate the traffic anticipated from these units." This is false. Storke Road and Hollister Avenue traffic and circulation are at a significant class 1 impact, operates at a service level (f). MTD added 3 to 5 minutes to bus schedules because of the traffic on these streets. There is to be a target where previously K-mart was that will bring additional traffic. The 27 apartments will further increase traffic congestion. 27 apartments with two cars each are 54 additional cars that will need parking. There is not adequate parking to accommodate the added cars.

Which is it, affordable housing for low or moderate-income households, please clarify? Connor Best said that the current market rate for a one bedroom is between two and three thousand, how much will affordable housing be? Let's be truthful, affordable housing in Goleta is not affordable. What's questionable is the legality of the 30-year affordable housing negotiated agreement. This gesture to make five of the 27 units affordable housing is minuscule on Hollister Village's part where 46.95% of households who rent are overburdened in Goleta.

There are newly built apartments all over Goleta, No-Goleta and Santa Barbara. There is no logical reason to build any more apartments on this compact, traffic generating site.

Vote No

Isabel Venegas

Goleta Mayor and City Council,

Come on, we do not need more apartments in Hollister Village. This developer has bullied and manipulated verbiage to get this site as big as it is. It's time to see through all the prevarication. Stand up to your convictions of the last time Hollister Village wanted to develop the 1.84-acre site and tell him No.

Staff made up a gross income amount to meet HV's affordable housing criteria, is that legal? Hollister Village is giving the City five 30-year, affordable housing units when the norm is 45-55 years.

Hollister Village say's they're not getting any benefit by giving us these five affordable units. As usual this is "look at what I'm doing for the city," when in reality HV has singularly destroyed this part of Goleta as we new it. If HV is getting \$2500.00 dollars just for a single apartment, they are making a ton of money out of the HV apartments and commercial buildings. It's their cash cow.

They do not live in Goleta or even Santa Barbara. They weren't here when all the residents were fighting to keep Goleta Great, Clean and Beautiful.

We voted three of you in because you three have your ears to the ground and hear the locals. Stop giving your power away. Do not fail us, stand strong and say no to this greed.

Sincerely, Yolanda & David Moreno