

From: Stuart Kasdin <skasdin@cityofgoleta.org>
Date: June 17, 2019 at 9:16:19 PM PDT
To: Michelle Greene <mgreene@cityofgoleta.org>, Charlie Ebeling
<cebeling@cityofgoleta.org>
Subject: Fwd: Comments on Amendment No. 2 to Professional Design
Services Agreement No. 2017- 055 with Drake, Haglan & Associates, Inc.,
for the Goleta US 101 Overcrossing Project 19-207

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Michael Iza <mikeiza@cox.net>
Date: June 17, 2019 at 9:10:41 PM PDT
To: Stuart K. <skasdin@cityofgoleta.org>, Paula Perotte
<pperotte@cityofgoleta.org>, <raceves@cityofgoleta.org>,
<jkyriaco@cityofgoleta.org>, Kyle Richards
<krichards@cityofgoleta.org>, <dconstantino@cityofgoleta.org>
Subject: Comments on Amendment No. 2 to Professional Design
Services Agreement No. 2017- 055 with Drake, Haglan &
Associates, Inc., for the Goleta US 101 Overcrossing Project 19-
207

Dear Mayor and Council,

The recent staff report document titled "Amendment No. 2 to
Professional Design Services Agreement No. 2017- 055 with Drake,
Haglan & Associates, Inc., for the Goleta US 101 Over-crossing
Project" on the June 18, 2019 Agenda lists three options for the 101

bridge overpass project. However, this item is on consent and not
scheduled for public debate or input. It is not clear to me how
council and the public are to state our preferred option if there is no
public meeting to discuss the project options listed in the report.

Given the three alternatives, my selection would be to move forward
with Option 3 as listed in the staff report. This would focus the
project entirely on a pedestrian/bike bridge, which was the public
intention from the very beginning.

Thank you for your consideration,

Michael Iza