

David Cutaia

From: Dee Tee <dee3.tee@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2021 4:54 PM
To: City Clerk Group
Subject: City Council Meeting Agenda Item A.7 Santa Barbara County Surveyor Record of Survey Review Subsidy

Regarding City Council meeting, Tuesday, July 20, 2021

Agenda Item A.7 Santa Barbara County Surveyor Record of Survey Review Subsidy

Recommendation: Decline participation in the County of Santa Barbara's two-year Record of Survey Review Pilot Program.

Comment:

I find the Staff's reasoning that filing Records of Survey is a private benefit, as contrasted to a public benefit, much too glib.

It appears to me that the maintenance of the the County record of survey maps, and the inspection of new survey maps and resolution of any conflicts of previously filed survey maps, is a public, not private, good.

I see from the "GUIDE TO THE PREPARATION OF RECORDS OF SURVEY AND CORNER RECORDS" published by the COUNTY ENGINEERS ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA (https://dpw.lacounty.gov/sur/info/RSCR_guide.pdf) that "Records of Survey" and "Corner Records" are required to be filed only in certain specific cases. These cases insure that the monuments and other markers, such as topographic features, that have experienced physical change "which, if not noted, may adversely affect the interpretation of the survey" are noted and brought to the attention of the County Surveyor.

It is in the public interest that any survey which discovers discrepancies between current conditions and what is shown on a previously recorded record of survey, official map, or subdivision map, be investigated and resolved by the County Surveyor.

I am in agreement with the County of Santa Barbara; the cost of filing the surveys should be borne by the public, as it is a public good.

Thank you for your consideration,

Dana Trout
339 Coronado Drive
Goleta, CA